

REMARKS

The examiner has maintained the anticipation rejection based on Brock. The examiner has refused to give credit to the patentability arguments made to distinguish Brock because, in the examiner's view, the arguments relied on limitations not present in the claims.

Applicant disagrees that patentability of the claims rested on limitations not in the claims, but has amended the two independent claims to overcome the examiner's refusal to give weight to the patentability arguments.

Accordingly, the examiner is asked to review the patentability arguments made in the last reply in reviewing the amended claims.

All claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10/30/2007

/grogerlee/
G. Roger Lee
Reg. No. 28,963

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906